

|   |                                      |                                   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/648,385 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>DOW ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Dean O Takaoka    | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2817           |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Dean O Takaoka.

(2) William E. Curry.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 October 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e)

Claims discussed:

13,15,16, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Pankinaho

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With respect to Applicant's amendment dated August 25, 2004, it was the position of the Examiner that amended claims 13, 15, 16 and 17 remained anticipated by Pankinaho where Pankinaho further teaches where the cut or notch reduces resonance (col. 8, lines 21-23), further where Pankinaho shows a non-continuous cut (Fig. 12c). In view of the teachings of Pankinaho, the Examiner requested the Applicant to cancel claims 13, 15, 16 and 17 in order to advance prosecution of the application and place it in condition for allowance. It was agreed claims 13, 15, 16, and 17 would be cancelled and minor changes to be made to claim 14, all changes made by Examiner's amendment.